

Applying Dataflow and Transactions to Lee Routing

Chris Seaton, Daniel Goodman, Mikel Luján, and Ian Watson

University of Manchester

{seatonc,goodmand,mikel.lujan,watson}@cs.man.ac.uk

MULTIPROG 2012 23 January 2012 Paris



Aims

- Looking at general purpose programming on commodity systems
- Evaluate an implementation of dataflow combined with transactions
- Lee's algorithm for circuit routing as a test application
- Impact on programmability
- Speedup

Multicore as commodity, need to parallelise irregular algorithms such as Lee

Dataflow Transactions

Creation of parallelism

Access to shared state

Simple implementations that achieve speedup



Example application – circuit routing





Example application – circuit routing

MANCHESTER

5	4	3	4	5	6				
4	3	2	3	4	5	6			
3	2	1	2	3	4	5	6		
2	1	S	1	2	3	4	5	6	
3	2	1	2	3	4	5	6		
4	3	2	3	4	5	6			
5	4	3	4	5	6	E			
6	5	4	5	6					
	6	5	6						
		6							

5	4	3	4	5	6				
4	3	2	3	4	5	6			
3	2	1	2	3	4	5	6		
2	1	S	1	2	3	4	5	6	
3	2	1	2	3	4	5	6		
4	3	2	3	4	5	6			
5	4	3	4	5	6	Е			
6	5	4	5	6					
	6	5	6						
		6							

Lee's algorithm – sequential

MANCHESTER

9	8	9								9	8	9							
8	7	8	9	E						8	7	8	9	Е					
7	6	7	8	9						7	6	7	8	9					
6	5						9			6	5						9		
5	4	3	2	1	2		8	9		5	4	3	2	1	2		8	9	
4	3	2	1	S	1		7	8	9	4	3	2	1	S	1		7	8	9
5	4	3	2	1	2		6	7	8	5	4	3	2	1	2		6	7	8
6	5	4	3	2	3	4	5	6	7	6	5	4	3	2	3	4	5	6	7
7	6	5	4	3	4	5	6	7	8	7	6	5	4	3	4	5	6	7	8
8	7	6	5	4	5	6	7	8	9	8	7	6	5	4	5	6	7	8	S

Lee's algorithm – sequential

MANCHESTER



Lee's algorithm – sequential

Lee's algorithm – parallel

- Lots of routes
- Find enough independent routes
- Where on the board will a route go?
- Very difficult to lock before starting



Dataflow

- Functional
- Functions scheduled when input ready
- Pass input from function to function
- All ready functions can be run in parallel
- Supports traditional parallelism divide and conquer



Dataflow

innerProduct (a, b) (c, d) = $(a \times c) + (b \times d)$





Dataflow

innerProduct (a, b) (c, d) = $(a \times c) + (b \times d)$



Dataflow

innerProduct (a, b) (c, d) = $(a \times c) + (b \times d)$



Transactional memory

- Semantic annotation of code that is to be executed atomically
- Often optimistic roll back and retry
- Often implemented using locks, atomic instructions
- Suits irregular algorithms as dependencies can be handled only when they occur

Tools



- Transactional memory: MUTS
- Dataflow: DFLib
- Teraflux project <u>http://www.teraflux.eu</u>

Implementation of Lee

- Sequential
- Coarse locked
- Transactional: MUTS
- Dataflow + transactional: MUTS + DFLib

lock copy board state unlock

... produce a solution ...

lock

is the solution still valid: save it to the board else:

retry it later

unlock

Accessing shared state – coarse lock

atomically:

copy board state

... produce a solution ...

atomically:

is the solution still valid: save it to the board else: retry it later

Accessing shared state – transactions

for each core: fork a new thread: loop while work: **lock worklist** take a route **unlock**

... solve the route ...

lock solutions

add to the list of solutions **unlock**

Scheduling – threads

solutions_thread = create collector thread (n)

for each route:
 route_thread = create thread (solveRoute)
 route_thread.arg1 ← board
 route_thread.arg2 ← route
 route_thread.arg3 ← boardState
 route_thread.output → solutions_thread

solutions_thread.output $\rightarrow \dots$

Scheduling – dataflow



subset of routes currently scheduled on a hardware thread











Dataflow

Implementation	Lines of code	Parallel operations			
Sequential	251	0			
Coarse lock	330 (+79)				
Transactional	328 (+77)	6			
Dataflow + transactional	300 (+49)	5			

Code metrics

Experimental Design

We have simpler programs – do we still get a decent speedup?

- Commodity hardware
- Intel Core i7 920, 4 cores each with 2-way SMT
- SuSE 11.2, Linux 2.6
- Java 1.6, Scala 2.9, MUTS 1.1
- Wall clock run time, excluding setup and IO
- 10 repetitions with mean and SD recorded

MANCHESTER



Speedup relative to sequential

Conclusions

- Dataflow can be combined with transactions
- Lee shows certain properties that are currently difficult to parallelise
- Together dataflow and transactions are easier to program than on their own
- Together they produce performance similar to transactions on their own, and faster than coarse locks

http://apt.cs.man.ac.uk/projects/TERAFLUX/MUTS/ (or just search for "scala muts")

Questions

Chris Seaton, Daniel Goodman, Mikel Luján, and Ian Watson

University of Manchester

{seatonc,goodmand,mikel.lujan,watson}@cs.man.ac.uk

Chris Seaton is an EPSRC funded student. Mikel Luján is a Royal Society University Research Fellow. The Teraflux project is funded by the European Commission Seventh Framework Programme.







val boardStateForFreeze = boardStateVar.take() // Lock
val privateBoardState = boardStateForFreeze.freeze
boardStateVar.put(boardStateForFreeze) // Unlock

val boardStateForLay = boardStateVar.take() // Lock

val verified = verifyRoute(route, solution, boardStateForLay)

```
if (verified)
    layRoute(route, solution, boardStateForLay)
else
```

scheduleForRetry(route)

boardStateVar.put(boardStateForLay)

// Unlock

Coarse lock

val privateBoardState = atomic { boardState.freeze }

```
atomic {
    if (verifyRoute(route, solution, boardState))
        layRoute(route, solution, boardState)
    else
        scheduleForRetry(route)
}
```

Transactional

The University of Manchester

```
val threads = for (n <- 0 until threadsCount) yield</pre>
   new Thread(new Runnable() {
        def run() = {
            while (...) {
                var routes = routesVar.take() // Lock
                routesVar.put(routes)
                                             // Unlock
                if (route == null) {
                                     . . .
                } else {
                    val solution = solveRoute(board, route, boardStateVar)
                    var solutions = solutionsVar.take() // Lock
                    solutions ::= solution
                    solutionsVar.put(solutions)
                                                          // Unlock
                }
            }
        }
   })
```

Threads with a work list

```
val solutionCollector =
    DFManager.createCollectorThread[Solution](routes.length)
```

```
for (route <- routes) {
   val routeSolver = DFManager.createThread(solveRoute _)
   routeSolver.arg1 = board
   routeSolver.arg2 = route
   routeSolver.arg3 = boardState
   routeSolver.arg4 = solutionCollector.token1
}</pre>
```

solutionCollector.addListener(solutionsOut)

